Courage, determination and ingenuity have been on display among the thousands of people coming together in the creative and colourful protests coordinated by Extinction Rebellion. These characteristics will be of enormous value if we are to build the type of movement urgently needed to not only draw attention to environmental destruction but to halt and start to reverse it.

One placard’s message, ‘I apologise but I don’t know what else to do’, summed up the desperate motivation of some of the protesters. Perhaps the greatest contribution is the significant questions posed by the protests about what programme, tactics and strategy are needed to secure a safe environment for future generations.

There is a growing mood for serious action and change. The school student strikes and these protests are an expression of the understanding that the Tory government is incapable of doing what is needed. Scientists warn that time is running out. We do what we can, as individuals, reusing plastic bags and recycling - and we should. But people ask: is this enough to stem the crisis?

The school students’ slogan of ‘system change not climate change’ recognises the deep-going transformation of society that is needed. For others, however, it means arguing for change within capitalism. But capitalism is a blind, unplanned system where the only driving force is the need to maximise profits. The change that is needed is socialist.

For example, the cement industry internationally is responsible for 8% of global carbon emissions and makes fat profits for its bosses. A socialist programme would mean decisions about what gets built and how would be democratically made. A socialist plan for ‘green’ production could include taking over the eleven million empty homes in Europe to house the homeless. It would need to include ending homes for profit by nationalising the profiteering housebuilding corporations and the banks under democratic workers’ control and management.

In this way, we could build sustainably, crucially building carbon-neutral council housing. This could also create jobs with trade union rates of pay - as a step towards a democratic, socialist plan for the economy.

To really and finally stop capitalist destruction of our living standards and our planet, we need to replace this rotten system with socialism. As we go on to explain, it is the millions-strong working class that has the potential power to do that.

continued on page 2
WORKERS’ ACTION NEEDED TO FIGHT FOR SOCIALIST PLANNING TO SAVE THE PLANET

What we think

Continued from front page

Karl Marx, one of the founders of scientific socialism, wrote in his famous work Capital that: “Even an entire society, a nation, or all simultaneously existing societies taken together, are not the owners of the Earth. They are simply its possessors, its beneficiaries, and have to bequeath it in an improved state to succeeding generations as good heads of the household”. Without doubt the current rulers of the earth’s possessors, the 1% of billionaires, bankers and big business bosses, ie the capitalist class and their political representatives, are incapable of improving the earth for its future inheritors. Instead they are selling off the family silver to the capitalists to plunder and destroy in the interests of their profits.

Capitalism is a social system based upon production for profit not social need. A ‘rational’ organisation of production, necessary to respond to the urgent situation, is impossible because capitalism is also a blind system. Marx’s analysis showed how capitalism exploits nature, just as it exploits the working class.

Marx and his co-thinker Engels explained that when the capitalists brought the working class together in the factories and other workplaces to maximise exploitation and therefore profit it was also creating its own ‘grave diggers’. It is in the workplace - first and foremost - that the exploitation takes place. It is in the workplace that the working class first experience workers learned that it was necessary to link up with other sections of their class through building trade unions and also to fight for an independent political voice that represented their interests against the parties who represented the bosses.

Working class

Today the enormous potential strength of the working class is not often on display, largely due to the failure of the trade union leaders to offer a fighting way forward. When workers strike it is impossible to ignore. Extinction Rebellion (XR) were able to grind London to a halt for a few hours through audacious actions. But what are the lessons of that action and what is needed?

When London Underground workers strike, the City loses billions of pounds. In January 2003, just two train drivers, inspired by the mass movement against the war, were able to prevent the delivery of arms destined to supply troops deploying for war. What’s more, workers, because of their role in production, also have the potential to organise society, to create the energy and things we need. XR has claimed its protests are the biggest displays of civil disobedience in decades. They aim to mobilise 3.5% of the population - but that is the number of workers on strike against austerity in November 2011.

And they are yet to achieve the numbers involved in the sustained mass movement of non-payment that defeated the poll tax which involved 18 million people. They were organised on a democratic local and national basis through the anti-poll tax unions to defend families against bailiffs and evictions, to frustrate the courts and ultimately to bring down the then prime minister Margaret Thatcher. Today an urgent task for the environment movement is the removal of the Tory government and its replacement by a Jeremy Corbyn-led anti-austerity government with socialist policies.

XR poses a vital question when they say that they “don’t trust our government to make the bold, swift and long-term changes necessary”. They demand that the government tell the truth and commit to a zero-emissions Britain by 2025.

However there is no basis for hoping that the crisis-driven Tories can deliver this - they are split and paralysed, mainly by Brexit. Nor can we hope that the planet destroyers will change their ways. Instead we need an alternative. This is the idea of a socialist democratically planned and organised economy and society. To usher it in requires a movement and the urgent building of a mass workers’ political voice by transforming Labour into a fighting socialist workers’ party. The first step should be to deselect those Labour MPs who defend the market system.

Economic and political power must be taken out of the hands of the destroyers of the planet, the handful of capitalists who control 80-85% of the economy. Compensation would be given to the ex-owners and particularly to the small shareholders on the basis of proven need. But the present honours of capitalism will continue to exist, indeed, will be perpetuated. If this system is not replaced by socialism, we cannot control what we don’t own.

Socialists are also internationalists. Environmental degradation does not recognise national borders, and an alternative requires planning on an international basis. This would provide the basis for social cooperation and human solidarity on an international level, a prerequisite for the protection of the environment and the conservation of natural resources.

There is no shortage of ways capitalist destruction of our environment impacts our lives and the future. This motivates those who have joined and supported the environment protests. Tropical forests are being decimated as profligating agri-bosses plant mono-crops of wheat, soy, and palm oil. Some estimate that by 2050 the oceans will contain more plastic than fish. Extinction threatens more and more species. As XR puts it “catastrophic climate breakdown will cause food collapse, destroy communities, kill millions, and render many more homeless.”

Now Greta Thunberg has backed the idea of a climate general strike. A general strike could give hope to millions of workers and youth and the wider population that there is a force in society capable of challenging the environmental destruction and austerity hell of capitalism - the working class. But who would organise it? It would need to be democratically organised in the workplaces and the trade unions. It is not inconceivable that the capitalist class would be forced to make concessions then out of fear of such a movement developing and challenging their right to rule.

XR’s call to arms says that: “Another world is possible, and it’s just within reach. It’s going to take everything we’ve got to get there.” Most importantly it is going to take ideas of what we need and how to achieve it. Socialist ideas are still the best ideas on offer for the type of change we need. Let’s organise to fight for socialism.

Have you got news for us? DEADLINE: Friday before publication date - urgent news: Monday

020 8988 8777 editors@socialistparty.org.uk @socialistpaper
Northern Ireland: killing of Lyra McKee

Trade union movement must act

Ciaran Mulholland
Socialist Party (CWI in Northern Ireland)

The killing of Lyra McKee has sent a wave of shock across the North. Lyra was only 29, but had already made a name for herself as a journalist, an author and an activist. Over 3,600 have died in the conflict, but some killings strike a particular chord.

Lyra's young age means she is of the generation which has only known the “peace” delivered by the Good Friday Agreement, signed almost exactly 21 years before the day of her 18 April death. Her activism means that for many young people she represents hope for a better future. Among trade union activists in particular, there is intense anger that Lyra was a journalist doing her job when she died.

The senseless nature of her killing has brought immense pressure onto the New IRA and the political party it is linked to, Saoradh. Saoradh is clearly under pressure, and called off its Easter Monday parade in Derry. Despite this, most working people will have an understandable fatalism at this point - they are prepared to support protests, but do not believe that dissident groups will listen. However, the lessons from the past are that mass, united action by the working class, Protestant and Catholic, does work.

Those who killed Lyra are also part of the generation who have grown up since the Good Friday Agreement. They were on the streets carrying guns because the Agreement has not brought the promised “peace dividend.”

Deprived

Derry is the most deprived city in not just Northern Ireland, but the UK. These conditions have driven some young people in areas like Creggan into the ranks of paramilitaries.

The trade union movement unites 250,000 Catholic and Protestant workers, and is the only body which can now provide the lead required. A mass, trade union-led campaign must oppose both the repression and the dire social conditions which drive young people into armed groups.

The Socialist Party demands jobs for all on trade union conditions, a £10-an-hour minimum wage without youth exemptions, mass building of public housing, free education, and fully funded public services under democratic working-class control.

This can check the growth of paramilitarism, ensure a new generation do not waste their lives in prison cells, and bring an end to the wasteful and senseless murder of young people like Lyra McKee.

The trade unions should now act and organise Northern Ireland-wide mass protests, demanding the New IRA and every other paramilitary not just end their armed campaigns, but disband immediately. Similar workers’ action in the past led, in effect, to half-day general strikes.

But this is not enough: the sectarian political parties which lined up to condemn the killing are part of the problem, not the solution. The unions must challenge sectarian politics and politicians, and state repression, and start to build a united political voice for all working-class and young people.

And ultimately, the only way to guarantee these changes, to end poverty and dead-end jobs, and to overcome the sectarianism which blights the lives of working-class people, is through a united struggle for a socialist future, where the economy is democratically planned to provide for all, not enrich the capitalist elite...

Read the full statement online at socialistparty.org.uk

Sri Lanka: no to terrorist bombing

United workers’ struggle can cut off growth of racism and division

The United Socialist Party in Sri Lanka, the Tamil Solidarity campaign, and the Socialist Party in England and Wales strongly condemn the atrocious terror attacks in Sri Lanka on 21 April.

Well-coordinated bombings took place in eight locations, including three churches filling up for Easter Sunday prayers. Victims of these indiscriminate attacks were from all Sri Lanka’s main ethnic and religious groups, as well as some visitors to the country.

It is not still clear who was behind this, but the capitalist establishment and racist organisations have again sought to whip up anti-Muslim feelings. Although the Sri Lankan government and all the right-wing parties have made calls for ‘peace and unity’, their supporters and senior organisers are already on the rampage spreading hatred.

The government has banned all social media, and declared a state of emergency and national curfew.

Widespread fear exists of retaliation against the Muslim minority, particularly by Buddhist extremists. The tension between Tamils and Muslims in the east can also now sharpen.

Weak Sri Lankan governments have historically used ethnic and religious division to consolidate and remain in power. Former defence minister Gotabaya Rajapaksa, in particular, is expected to use this incident to stake a claim as a candidate in the next presidential election.

Solidarity

However, in a short period of time, working and poor people from all communities have shown the other powerful tradition that exists in Sri Lanka - solidarity.

At the hospital in the predominately Muslim-populated Kaluwa, a huge crowd gathered to give blood for the victims. This was the case in hospitals in the Tamil-dominated north as well as in the Sinhala-dominated south.

Sri Lanka has a very strong history of united struggle. The United Socialist Party organisation in Sri Lanka, stands in that strong tradition. We continue to fight for united working-class struggle to win all democratic rights, including freedom of religion, speech and assembly; the right to strike; and national rights for Tamils.

The United Socialist Party also argues it is not enough to fight for democratic demands alone. We stand for united struggle to end the capitalist system once and for all, to establish a democratic, socialist, planned economy to end all oppression.

It is the working class and poor masses who pay the price for these terror attacks. The ruling capitalist class always uses them as an opportunity to clamp down on our democratic rights and further consolidate its grip on power.

While condemning this act of terror, we also oppose the hypocrisy of the Sri Lankan state which itself is a terror state. United working-class action, and the building of mass working-class organisations, is the only way to protect us from escalation of divisive attacks in the future...
The striking relevance of Leon Trotsky’s Theory of Permanent Revolution

Theorems

The revolutionary movements shaking today’s world, and in 1917 in the colonial world, underlines the relevance of Leon Trotsky’s Theory of permanent revolution! This outstanding contribution to socialist analysis and revolutionary strategy in Asia, Africa and Latin America today provides the historical and theoretical foundation to understand the role of the working class and the peasantry in the pivotal October 1917 socialist revolution in Russia. The permanent revolution provides the key to understanding the class forces involved, and the programme and tasks of the working class.

Following the events of the first Russian revolution in 1905, Trotsky—then Secretary, Committee for a Workers’ International (CWI)—was able to draw conclusions that completely anticipated the changes in the dynamic of society that would unfold in the colony and semi-colonies during the 1920s and 1930s. Like many movements of the neo-colonial world, Mao Tse-Tung, the vanguard of the working class, was ruthlessly exploited and subjected to the interests of the capitalist and landlord classes. The masses. The tasks of the capitalist-democratic revolution were also bound together with breaking the domination of imperialism.

In Asia, Trotsky was able to see that it was when the 1920s and early 1930s that Trotsky posed the need for the working class to begin to develop a strategy for the revolutionary victory over the capitalist and landlord classes. The working class had to begin to develop the program for the permanent revolution.

Trotsky took it further and argued that the peasant had more than one role to play. He based the permanent revolution on the peasantry and the working class. Trotsky posed the need for the working class to begin to develop a strategy for the revolutionary victory over the capitalist and landlord classes. The working class had to begin to develop the program for the permanent revolution.

In a negative sense, it confirms that the epoch of the permanent revolution has passed, that with the end of the Cold War and the collapse of the Soviet Union, capitalism has triumphed, a fact that is no longer in doubt.

For millions, capitalism means, to quote the revolutionary socialist Lenin, “the revolution without an end.”

The Permanent Revolution and Results and Lessons of Lenin Trotsky

The Permanent Revolution

Revolution

Russia

In Russia, a newly developed force in the twentieth century, a new social force, the working class, had come to the fore. It was the working class that led and won the socialist revolution. It was the working class that led the Soviet Republic.
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PCS: STRIKES AGAINST POVERTY PAY AND JOB LOSSES - VOTE YES IN NATIONAL PAY BALLOT

Vote for Chris Baugh and Democracy Alliance in union elections

PCS members in the Socialist Party

PCS national pay ballot - one week to go

With just a few more days to go until the PCS national pay ballot closes on 29 April, the 50% voter turnout threshold set down in the Tory anti-union laws has been reached by many branches.

Another big push is needed. A big ‘yes’ vote backed up with an industrial action strategy of sustained, national, group and targeted action can win a much-needed above the pay cap pay rise.

Other civil service and public sector unions should ballot their members for joint action across the public sector to end years of pay restraint.

PCS outsourced members fight poverty pay

There is growing anger across Whitehall from outsourced staff working in government departments over poverty pay and Victorian-like terms and conditions. The latest group of workers to take strike action are Interserve facilities staff at the Foreign and Commonwealth Office.

They will strike for two days on 1-2 May over the company’s refusal to negotiate with PCS on redundancies, contractual changes to pay dates, and attacks on holiday pay and sick pay.

The planned action by Interserve members follows on from strike action by Aramark and ISS cleaners at the department for Business, Energy, Industry and Skills (BEIS).

PCS members have been taking strike action since the start of the year demanding the living wage of £10.55 an hour (the Living Wage Foundation’s London Living Wage) and terms and conditions comparable with civil servants.

So far Aramark and ISS have refused to meet the cleaners’ demands on pay. They have been on strike three times to demand a fair wage, and are determined to continue strike action until they win.

HMRC’s Wolverhampton office in strike ballot to save their office

PCS members in HMRC’s Wolverhampton office are being balloted for strike action and a work-to-rule to save their office from closure.

A successful vote for industrial action in Wolverhampton, and a strategy to spread the campaign to other offices threatened with closure, will also strengthen the campaign to save the HMRC Ealing office.

There has been three full days and two half days of strike action by PCS members in Ealing so far and they are currently planning their next steps. Other HMRC offices threatened with closure have given unmatched support to PCS members in local and group disputes and campaigns. The election closes on 9 May. Make sure you vote for Chris Baugh and return your ballot paper in time.

Chris is the candidate supported by PCS Left Unity - the union’s broad left. Socialist Party members Marion Lloyd and Dave Semple are standing for re-election to the national executive committee on the Democracy Alliance slate - supported by PCS Left Unity and the PCS Democrats. Vote for them and all other candidates on the Democracy Alliance slate.

You can read Chris’s full election statement at chrisbaughpcs.wordpress.com

Re-elect Chris Baugh as the PCS assistant general secretary

The election for the PCS assistant general secretary is now well under way. Socialist Party member and incumbent, Chris Baugh, received 86 branch nominations which is by far the largest number for any of the candidates.

Chris is opposed to all cuts and austerity and in support of the PCS national campaign on pay. Chris has helped steer the union through difficult times over the removal of the check-off facility for paying union subs, cuts to facility time and attacks on members terms and conditions.

He has given unmatched support to PCS members in local and group disputes and campaigns. The election closes on 9 May. Make sure you vote for Chris Baugh and return your ballot paper in time.

Chris is the candidate supported by PCS Left Unity - the union’s broad left. Socialist Party members Marion Lloyd and Dave Semple are standing for re-election to the national executive committee on the Democracy Alliance slate - supported by PCS Left Unity and the PCS Democrats. Vote for them and all other candidates on the Democracy Alliance slate.

You can read Chris’s full election statement at chrisbaughpcs.wordpress.com

Doncaster NHS strike to stop terms and conditions attack

When catering services at Doncaster and Bassetlaw Hospitals NHS Trust were privatised and taken over by the French based multinational corporation Sodexo, staff were promised, as part of the legal transfer agreement, that they would keep their NHS ‘Agenda for Change’ terms and conditions.

However, when Sodexo refused to match the latest NHS pay rise, anger soon turned into defiance and the demand for strike action was raised. In a spectacular show of strength, 100% of the staff who took part in the ballot that followed voted to strike.

This was on a turnout of 89.4%, showing that the Tories’ punitive anti-trade union laws need not be an insurmountable barrier to workers fighting back when a determined campaign is waged.

With the strike set for 1 May, staff are determined to see things through to the bitter end. Doncaster Trade Union Council has declared its support for the strike and socialist singer-songwriter Joe Solo has written a strike song called ‘Sarnies for Solidarity’.

Please show your support by sending donations and messages of solidarity to Doncaster District and Bassetlaw Unison Health branch, Jenkinson House, White Rose Way, Doncaster, DN4 5GJ.
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On 17 April, 50 ISS privatised Catering staff at Barnsley hospital, members of GMB union, protested against an imposed change from weekly to a fortnightly pay cycle plus an extra week in hand. This means three weeks without any wages, unless staff take a bridging loan - which then means wage cuts for two months. GMB is currently balloting members for industrial action and a further national day of protest action is planned for 26 April.
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Leicester mayoral hustings

Only two choices: Stop the cuts or make the cuts

Heather Rawling
NEU, personal capacity

It’s a daily struggle to make ends meet for working-class people in Leicester, made worse by the cuts that the Labour council is making. Yet at a recent mayoral hustings meeting, Mayor Sir Peter Soulsby (a ‘left’ in the 1970s), had the audacity to criticise the record of Liverpool’s socialist Labour council in the 1980s - which was led by supporters of Militant, the forerunner of the Socialist Party.

Socialist Party member Steve Score, standing as the Socialist Alternative mayoral candidate, pointed out that Liverpool’s record on building council homes back then (5,000 new homes) was without equal. The council built more houses than the rest of the country put together during its time in office, creating hundreds of jobs for local people.

Moreover, Leicester City Council in the 1980s, where Soulsby played a leading role, was one of the Labour councils that abandoned the struggle against Tory government cuts and left Liverpool to fight on its own. Recently, Leicester City Council closed homeless shelters while giving £10 million to Travelodge to build another hotel. And yet he felt able to give a lecture on the complex needs of homeless people. I know, I have worked with some of them!

‘Those estates’

Tracy Woolman of the Socialist Party, in response to Conservative mayoral candidate Baroness Verm’s patronising statement that she had “been to these estates and met some of the people there”, said, angrily, “well I live on one of those estates”.

Tracy went on to point out the problems of people living in Leicester’s council estates, where life is a battle to pay the rent and bills, to clothe and feed the children, and to get doctors’ appointments.

Mags Lewis of the Green Party seemed more concerned about getting a woman mayor.

But Socialist Party members pointed out that it was the policies and programme that mattered, not the gender of the politician. Who wants another Margaret Thatcher?

Lewis raised the lack of public transport in the city meant people used their cars more, contributing to pollution. But she had no solution to the current total of £150 million cuts that the Tory government has made to the council budget. A lack of public transport means that people, particularly women, are left stranded on the estates, especially at night time.

Steve called for the buses to be run by the council and for it to subsidise non-profitable bus routes. He pointed out that the council had £166 million in reserves of which only £34 million was earmarked. Some of the remainder could be used to prevent cuts, while organising a mass campaign to force this weak Tory government to reverse the cuts in spending.

There were only two options: either stop the cuts and fight for more funding or make the cuts. Only the Socialist Party clearly stood against the cuts. No other candidate had a programme to deliver on their promises.

NAME AND SHAME HOUSING BOSSES

The Unite union housing workers’ branch and Social Housing Action Campaign (SHAC) are holding the annual Alternative Housing Awards on Wednesday 1 May. It will name and shame housing associations for poor performance as landlords and shame housing associations for annual Alternative Housing Awards.

Social Housing Action, organised in individual associations, association workers, organised in branches. Many tenants of housing associations complain that they are not listened to or are threatened. Housing association workers, organised in the Unite housing branch, also point to aggressive management and attacks on union rights.

Housing association residents are organising in individual associations and linking together those workers through SHAC - a network of housing association and co-op tenants supported by the Unite housing branch.

Trade unionists mocking housing bosses at a previous ‘alternative awards’ ceremony photo Sarah Wark

They have little understanding of the struggle to survive faced by many of their staff, tenants or service users, nor the homeless population. Their self-interest is clear.

Housing associations - stop the segregation

The Guardian recently reported that a ‘social landlord’ threatened a tenant for speaking to the press about segregated facilities for social tenants and private tenants in the same housing block. Social housing tenants had to use the stairs as the lift only stopped on floors with private tenants’ ground flats. The tenant’s wife was heavily pregnant.

Reportedly, his housing association, Southern Housing, would not let him sign a permanent tenancy and warned him he would be monitored for six months. And if the landlord was not satisfied, he and his family could lose their home.

It is often claimed that mixed tenure developments mean mixed communities, but some social landlords seem keener on pleasing developers and investors than creating genuine mixed communities.

Many tenants of housing associations complain that they are not listened to or are threatened. Housing association workers, organised in the Unite housing branch, also point to aggressive management and attacks on union rights.

Housing association residents are organising in individual associations and linking together those workers through SHAC - a network of housing association and co-op tenants supported by the Unite housing branch.
AUSTERITY MUST GO
KICK OUT THE TORIES
WE NEED A SOCIALIST ALTERNATIVE

Iain Dalton
Socialist Alternative candidate for Gipton and Harehills ward, Leeds

Theresa May’s zombie government is still in place, lurching from one crisis to the next but looking increasingly likely to be consigned to the grave.

Talk continues of local Tory party branches calling emergency general meetings at which they’d pass a no confidence motion in order to heap pressure on May to go.

But if May is replaced by another Tory, that will do nothing about the ongoing austerity that is blighting the lives of working-class communities up and down the country. We need a general election.

This week, newspapers gave us yet another example of the misery austerity entails. Reports show that around half of all teachers are dipping into their own pockets to provide basic materials such as paper and pens!

The local elections on 2 May provide an opportunity to strike against the Tories’ austerity agenda. But despite Corbyn’s anti-austerity programme, Labour councillors up and down the country have been doing the Tories’ dirty work.

In my ward of Gipton and Harehills in Leeds, the leaflet for the sitting Labour councillor doesn’t even mention the words ‘cuts’ or ‘austerity’.

Leeds City Council (whose budget this year added £4.5 million to its reserves) has already been forced to u-turn on one of its cuts implemented in the latest budget - passed only seven weeks ago.

Concession prices for a number of council-run attractions went up at the start of the Easter holiday, prompting a backlash on social media from hard-pressed parents.

This joins the u-turns the council made last year on cuts to transport for special needs students and on building a free school academy on Fearnville playing fields, both of which campaigns Socialist Party members were active in.

Imagine if instead of fighting against parents, local communities and trade unionists to implement these cuts, local councillors were working together with them to fight the Tories for the funding our towns and cities need.

On the doorstep we’ve had a warm response to our petition for the alternative ‘no-cuts’ budget for the city drawn up by Leeds Trade Union Council earlier this year.

We’re finding increasing numbers telling us they’re no longer prepared to vote for politicians who fail to stand up for working-class communities.

This is why I’ll be joining Socialist Party members up and down the country in standing to provide a socialist alternative to cuts this May.