Tory prime minister Theresa May is going. With ten leadership candidates announced and rising, the nasty party is tearing itself apart before our eyes.

Once one of the most successful parties on the planet at representing the rich and powerful, the Tories are shattering, reflecting the crisis of British capitalism.

For a governing party to come fifth in the European elections, with less than 10% of the vote, is an utter humiliation.

Millions of working and middle-class people have faced devastation at the hands of Tory governments over the last decade. Pay restraint, benefit cuts and closure of public services have been the norm.

Now we have a chance to get the Tories out. This has to be the overwhelming priority for trade unionists, anti-cuts campaigners, climate strikers and ‘no to Trump’ protesters in the coming weeks and months.

Instead, however, the establishment media and - criminally - the pro-capitalist Blairite wing of the Labour Party are concentrating their fire not on the Tories, but on Labour leader Jeremy Corbyn, arguing that the EU election results prove he has to start campaigning for a second referendum to reverse Brexit.

Corbyn-lead government

Many of these MPs - supporters of the Toryite policies of Tony Blair - have made clear that they do not want a Corbyn-led government because they fear it could challenge the interests of the capitalist elite.

It is not ensuring the election of a Labour government that motivates former Blair spin-doctor Alistair Campbell - who admitted voting Lib Dem in the Euro-polls - or Labour deputy leader Tom Watson, but defending the interests of big business.

If Corbyn was to follow their recommendations it would severely damage the prospects for a Labour government. The working-class vote for Brexit in 2016 was a cry of rage against the capitalist establishment and the misery being inflicted on the majority in the wake of the 2007–08 economic crisis.

If Corbyn was to be acting at the behest of that establishment to reverse the result of the referendum it would finish him in the eyes of an important section of working-class voters.

The only way to cut across the division that exists between working class ‘Leavers’ and ‘Remainers’ is to launch a serious campaign to demand a general election now, linked to a clear socialist programme in defence of the interests of the whole working class.

> Continued on p2-3
What do the EU election results really represent?

What we think

Continued from front page

The reality of the EU elections in Britain is not the same as the simplistic picture being painted in the capitalist press. Clearly many used their vote to express their views for or against Brexit. Nonetheless, this was not a second referendum. Firstly it had a far lower turnout. Just over 16.5 million people voted, a small increase on the last EU election, but still a turnout of less than half the number that voted in the 2017 general election. More than 60% of people expressed their frustration with the whole situation by staying at home. The surge - both to the Brexit Party and to parties that campaigned as ‘pro-Brexit’ - have to be seen in that context. Nonetheless in a distorted way these elections did give another indication of the deep-seated anger at the capitalist establishment that has delivered a reelection successive anti-working class governments. And, in a warning to Corbynism, this time Labour was not able to capitalise on that anger and was punished by it.

Revenge of Remain?

However, the next cause of this is not - Tom Watson and co argue - Corbyn’s failure to take a pro-Remain position. Unfortunately, the Liberal Democrats and Greens made gains partly by trying to claim the pro-Remain banner. This should not be exaggerated, however. In total 3.36 million voted for the Liberal Democrats, for example, compared to 6.8 million in the 2015 general election. The Liberal Democrats were also all but annihilated - reduced to just over 0.5% - as voters punished them for their role in the hated Con-Dem coalition government. Both this election and the recent council contests indicate that, unfortunately, even more has passed for memories of their crimes in government to fade, allowing them to partially re-establish themselves as an acceptable protest vote. Nonetheless, it does not follow that they will automatically have a similar surge in a general election.

On the other side of the Brexit Party - who came first with over 30.5% - was the Greens, who took 24% of the vote. The Greens have done reasonably well in the past at the general election. The Greens capitalised on the collapse of the smaller parties to continue to build on the post-2015 gains. Nonetheless, it is not clear that they will capitalise on the same level this time.

A vote for a distant and powerless EU parliament (which the country has now left) is not comparable with a general election where people are voting for the next government. This was not a second referendum - with less than half the number who voted in the 2016 EU referendum - with less than half the number who voted in the 2017 general election. In total five or more seats were in heavily Leave-voting areas. It reflects both thewpresentation of many Brexit-supporting voters to the establishment, and the deep-seated anger at the capitalist establishment by staying at home. This was not an appeal for solidarity to workers across Europe. Nonetheless, in a warning to Corbynism, this time Labour was not able to capitalise on deep-seated anger at the capitalist establishment but was punished by it.

NO TO EU MINISTER PANIC

This was not a second referendum - with less than half the number who voted in 2016, more than 30% of people expressed their frustration with the whole situation by staying at home.

Trade unionists ecological groups are being pushed to the back of the fiar. This is a warning to workers to unite to overcome the bosses. baker against all the forces of the capitalist establishment, including those in your own party!

A vote for a distant and powerless EU parliament (which the country has now leave) is not comparable with a general election where people are voting for the next government.

Tory leadership contenders - voted for explicitly Remain candidates in the working class in Brexit referendum fire in the first place. Imagine if a series of Labour councils were now carrying out a policy of mass council housebuilding, as opposed to ‘affordable housing’...?
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Euro-elections in Scotland and Wales

Labour punished by working class over Brexit fudge, cuts and national question

WALES: MASSIVE ANTI-ESTABLISHMENT VOTE

Dave Reid
Socialist Party Wales

In Wales, the main victors were the Brexit Party and Plaid Cymru. Leave voters from both Labour and Conservative went to the Brexit Party, who won 32.5% of the vote, about the same as in England.

Remain voters went to Plaid Cymru (29%) and the Lib Dems (15%). Most Labour Remain ‘deserters’ voted for Plaid and Tory ‘Remainers’ for the Lib Dems. Welsh Labour’s 15% (finishing third behind the Brexit Party and Plaid Cymru) represents its worst result in Wales since 1910.

The vote for the Brexit party in mainly working-class areas should act as a wakeup call to Corbyn supporters to break from the Blairites.

Farage has seized on the failure of the Westminster politicians to deliver Brexit, hiding his right-wing ideas to win the votes in these elections of working-class people angry at the capitalist establishment.

This is the same dissatisfaction revealed by the 2016 Brexit vote, with an even more angry twist.

The report of Farage’s visit to Merthyr revealed some of the potential support for a workers’ party fighting with a clear socialist message among Brexit Party voters.

One Brexit Party supporter was quoted: “Now I seem to think that Labour is just getting in bed with the Conservatives, and they are the upper middle class. I honestly think Brexit is the new working class party for poverty-stricken towns.”

Of course, Farage remains silent about his support for Thatcherite anti-working class policies and even more austerity.

Plaid and Remain

Plaid Cymru is claiming a big victory, coming second to the Brexit party and beating Labour for the first time ever.

It increased its vote by a third from 2014, but Plaid leader Adam Price’s claim that “Wales is now a Remain nation” is not so clear. Parties that were clearly standing on a Remain platform won 43% of the vote overall, with clear pro-Brexit parties winning 37%.

The turnout in Wales was also 37%, less than half that of a general election and the 2016 EU referendum itself.

In Plaid’s rural heartlands in the north west and west it topped the polls or came second. But in the South Wales valley areas, that Plaid has to gain to win the 2021 Welsh elections, there were clear majorities for pro-Brexit parties.

Both the Cymru march and the surge of support for the Brexit Party show the enormous discontent that exists with the political establishment and the yearning for change.

The limited devolution settlement of 1997 has delivered very little for Wales. Welsh Labour governments have privatised less than the Tories but presided over the running down of public services after ten years of austerity. They have abjectly failed to stand up to the Tory government in Westminster and protect working-class people from the cuts.

And for the Tories, the main capitalist party and the official opposition in the Senedd (parliament), the results are its worst in history in Wales - less than 7% of the vote.

Scotland: Labour finishes up in fifth place

Matt Dobson
Socialist Party Scotland

The European elections in Scotland showed a similar polarisation to the rest of the UK on Brexit. Working-class voters again showed their discontent and disillusionment by staying away from the polls. Although turnout was up slightly from 2014 it was still only 35%.

The Scottish National Party (SNP) campaigning on the slogan - “Scotland’s for Europe” - won 30 out of 32 local authority areas, three seats for the first time and 38% of the vote. The pro-remain Lib Dems regained the seat they lost in 2014.

The Brexit Party won a seat and came second but actually only won 15% of the vote (UKIP won a seat in 2014 with 10%). The Scottish Tories were a casualty of the polarisation and the crisis following May’s resignation coming below the Lib Dems with 11.6%.

Wrong result

Scottish Labour suffered its worst national election result in Scotland since 1910, polling 9.3%, trailing in fifth place. For the first time Labour has no MEPs, finishing behind the Brexit Party in Dundee and former heartlands like South Lanarkshire.

In Glasgow, despite coming second, they only achieved 15% despite winning there in 2014.

As well as its failure to offer a clear socialist position on Brexit, Labour is still being punished for a wrong position on the national question by opposing a second independence referendum and self-determination.

In Labour-run councils it is carrying out cuts and is not seen as genuine fighters against austerity despite the Leonard leadership putting forward some radical left policies.

Scottish Labour leader Richard Leonard is coming under pressure for failing to make any impact since elected, with Labour also struggling in Westminster polls. Westminster MP Paul Sweeney - who regained Glasgow North East for Labour in 2017 - attacked the Euro-election campaign after the result, demanding the party commit to backing a second referendum and come out clearly for Remain.

The pro-Remain Scottish Greens with 8.3% did not experience any surge and ended up with an identicall share of the vote to 2014. This can partly be explained by the Greens increasingly being seen as ‘SNP-lite,’ backing SNP austerity budgets in Holyrood and in Glasgow.

SNP leader Nicola Sturgeon claimed after the result that this was an endorsement of the SNP’s 12 years in power. But that is not the reality on the ground, with rising working-class anger towards the SNP.

The SNP leadership is facing greater pressure from a section of their base to demand a second independence referendum from Westminster, likely to be refused by a new Tory leadership.

Labour’s dire result shows the need for the Corbyn left to remove the Blairite right wing, change its position on the national question, and put forward a fighting strategy of no cuts budgets in councils.

Overall the European elections showed the massive political vacuum that exists for a force to fight for the working class in Scotland with socialist policies.
On Wednesday 22 May, British Steel, the UK’s second biggest steel firm, was taken into compulsory liquidation by the Insolvency Service.

The company’s collapse puts 5,000 jobs at risk, 4,000 in Scunthorpe and 800 in Teesside, with another 20,000 jobs threatened in the supply chain.

The next morning’s front pages of two local newspapers graphically described what this will mean for local communities. The Scunthorpe Telegraph pictured a desolated steel plant closed in Redcar in 2015, with the headline: “Don’t let this happen to us!”

The Teesside Gazette ran a black-tinted front page with an image of a lone steelworker, accompanied by a quote about how the industry had again been “shafted by heartless private investors and a government unwilling to pull their finger out.”

Greybull

The “heartless private investors” in British Steel’s case are Greybull Capital, the private equity company that bought the steel plants from Tata Steel for just £1 in 2016, at the height of the last steel crisis when 5,000 jobs were lost in the industry in a single month.

Greybull ‘saved’ 4,000 jobs, rebranded Tata into British Steel, and returned it to profit within 100 days, a seeming success story.

But this was achieved at the expense of the workforce, with 10% of the jobs going and a pay cut in the first year.

After two years of profits, British Steel returned to making losses from mid-2018 and required a £120 million government loan to meet its carbon emissions bill from the European Union.

The company’s request for a further £30 million loan was refused because the government said that it would be illegal under EU state aid rules.

Greybull shouldn’t get another penny anyhow; it has form when it comes to failed takeovers. It ‘rescued’ Monarch Airlines, the Comet electrical chain and others, all of which collapsed, putting several thousand staff out of work.

And while British Steel has been going bust, Greybull has been charging 9.6% interest on loans to it and has taken £20 million in fees and interest in the last year.

This is the reality of 21st century capitalism. So it’s welcome that some steel trade union leaders and Jeremy Corbyn have called for the government to consider nationalisation to save the industry and jobs.

The Socialist Party believes that they should be shouting for nationalisation from the rooftops. No trust can be put in another private takeover.

Nationalisation enjoys massive public support. A just-released YouGov poll has 46% supporting nationalisation with only 18% opposed.

This is a national emergency with 25,000 jobs at risk. It would actually be cheaper for the government to pay limited compensation (not to fat-cat owners, but on the basis of proven need) and subsidise the industry for a period, than the costs of paying for 5,000 redundancy packages and dole payments for another 20,000, and the loss of workers’ taxes, not to mention the depression of local economies.

Not just that, if steel is nationalised then the government could use its procurement powers to secure contracts to supply the steel at guaranteed prices for infrastructure and construction projects.

Rail track, housing, hospitals and schools all need steel. A massive new wind farm is being built in the nearby Humber estuary that needs steel for the masts and blades.

So not only would steel jobs be saved, but new jobs can be created as part of a mass programme of public works.

And if nationalisation of the steel industry breaks EU rules, then what better issue from which to make the socialist case for leaving the capitalist EU, in the interests of working class people?

Solidarity

That was the message that Socialist Party members took to the main entrance of the Scunthorpe steel works early on Thursday 23 May, joining a solidarity protest called by local window cleaner and Labour activist Stuart Mow, who had been interviewed on the Jeremy Vine radio show the day before.

Stuart told us that he’d called the protest because on hearing the news of British Steel going bust, he thought to himself: “I have to do something to show the workers that they have public support and solidarity.”

And the response shows that just like four years ago, the local community can be mobilised in their thousands, because the steel works is the heart of the town and without it, Scunthorpe will be another Redcar.

But the steel trade unions need to take action, not just wait for a new buyer to turn up. That means petitions, yes, marches through the town, yes, but it must also mean preparing for an occupation of a strategic site or sites on the steelworks to demand socialist nationalisation and public investment.

Theresa May is going and the Tory government could collapse at any time. They are so weak that with bold and determined leadership the trade unions could force even a Tory government to at least nationalise British Steel.

And even if that was only ‘temporarily’, a Jeremy Corbyn-led government could make that permanent and bring the rest of the steel industry into public ownership as well.
Defeats for union officials and steps taken to rebuild a left opposition

Defeated in last week’s PCS conference, national executive committee (NEC) member Rebecca Vassallo announced that the NEC had agreed to put the NEC’s recommendation to the membership for a censure motion. “I have serious concerns about the NEC’s recommendation,” Vassallo said. “We have a clear mandate from the conference to censure Serwotka.”

Serwotka has been at the centre of intense criticism and debate over his handling of the conference. The NEC has failed to take any action against Serwotka for his actions during the conference, and members of the NEC have expressed concern about his leadership.

The NEC has been under pressure to take action against Serwotka, who has been accused of failing to address concerns raised by members during the conference. Members have expressed frustration with Serwotka’s leadership, and have called for him to be held accountable for his actions.

The NEC has been under pressure to take action against Serwotka, who has been accused of failing to address concerns raised by members during the conference. Members have expressed frustration with Serwotka’s leadership, and have called for him to be held accountable for his actions.
S
ince the beginning of 2019, young people have been working out of schools and colleges demanding urgent ac-

tion from the politicians on climate change. Millions internationally are already searching for solutions to the climate crisis.

But does the GreensNewDeal really catch the mood of the mass-politi-
cicans, such as left Democratic Party representative Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez (NYC) in the US, call for

capitalism, have taken up the idea of a Green New Deal, gaining sup-

port from many workers and young people, and including many reas-
sons for why a Green New Deal would be a huge step forward.

The Green New Deal would take up the ideas of the Thatcherite 

tools necessary to fix the situation could be launched to build mil-

lions of high-technology jobs and new job opportunities. This has been taken up elsewhere, including here in 

Britain. The Green New Deal radicals back in American President Franklin Roosevelt’s New Deal of the 

1930s, which has taken on a new sharper character since the 2007-08 

crash, with austerity leading to increasing suffering, misery and poverty affecting working class and young people. That’s why fighting for Green New Deal policies, defined by AOC - achieving carbon neutrality by 2050, heavily funding green industries and technologies and the creation of millions of decent jobs on union-tied rates of pay - means fighting for a socialist Green New Deal.

Corporate taxation

Some advocates of the GreensNewDeal argue that increasing corpo-

rate tax rates in western countries in the 1920s and 1930s, it was as far as the other way round - trade war, the post-

war boom, the production of goods, the provision of services, the political conditions surrounding World War Two, which gave the capitalist class room to implement Keynesian measures.

Today, the situation is completely different. We are living through a dra-

matically new crisis of British and world capitalism with big businesses and their political representatives - the Tories and the Blairites - the government of the rich and the rich class beneath it, determined to cut pay for their crisis through a series of unnecessary cuts.

Capitalism does not have an answer to the huge problems posed by a Green New Deal today.
Leeds

Around 600 students joined the fourth action. The city council has already been dealing with the climate change emergency for some time, and the report which has been issued is very important. Students and workers have assembled at the city council to demand that the council declare a climate emergency. The action was called by the Leeds students’ union and was supported by the Leeds municipality.

York

York students were also part of the fourth action. The students were part of a larger demonstration which included retired and unemployed workers. They came to York in order to demand that the council declare a climate emergency. The students were part of a larger demonstration which included retired and unemployed workers. They came to York in order to demand that the council declare a climate emergency. The students were part of a larger demonstration which included retired and unemployed workers. They came to York in order to demand that the council declare a climate emergency. The students were part of a larger demonstration which included retired and unemployed workers. They came to York in order to demand that the council declare a climate emergency. The students were part of a larger demonstration which included retired and unemployed workers. They came to York in order to demand that the council declare a climate emergency. The students were part of a larger demonstration which included retired and unemployed workers. They came to York in order to demand that the council declare a climate emergency.
It was with great sadness that we learned of Pauline Dunlop. The news already posted on social media was confirmed by her family and friends.

Pauline was a socialist and Labour activist who supported Militant, precursor of the Socialist Party. She held a full-time position as a regional organiser of the Socialist Party.

In her capacity as deputy chair of the Portsmouth Socialist Party, she was held. In her defence of the socialist policies espousing support for those policies, she played a key role. She was also held in the trade unions who, in the main, gave her support. She was held by the Militant, forerunner of the Socialist Party, and was held in the leadership.

Pauline was present on all the great demonstrations organised in support of the workers. On many, she could be seen carrying the banner beating the immortal words “better the devil you know than the devil you don’t know.”

It is in that the government has not yet found a solution to the problem of mental health issues. Elle Il learned on the streets, and Pauline was one of the leaders in the struggle for the rights of the poor. Elle Il and Pauline were the first to organise the workers in those classes in dire circumstances. Workers have to watch our friends and families struggling from month to month, year to year. Where has all the money gone from these cuts?

Shannon L asked “why were the Greeks sold for 25 centimes under Napoleon?”

The Tories are selling us out to the US, but we have to do something. “The country is full of land banks and homelessness, and the more we try to protect the poor. “We must stop the cuts and the neglect of those who come before.”

Our condolences to Pauline’s family. Pauline was present on all the great demonstrations organised. The procession is laid bare. “In the face of those cuts, the government has not yet found a solution to the problem of mental health issues. The UN and Tories will not act for the poor. They don’t even measure poverty.”

Neil Kinnock’s Labour leadership ferociously opposed such a move, and the report will not result in any change. It is rare to see them even criticise a government like Britain’s, and it is rare to see them even criticise their own government.

The UN and Tories will not act for the poor. They don’t even measure poverty. They don’t even measure the wealth of the super-rich. But they do allow society to be run in the interests of billionaires who profit at our expense. The UN and Tories will not act for the poor. They don’t even measure poverty. They don’t even measure the wealth of the super-rich. But they do allow society to be run in the interests of billionaires who profit at our expense.

Tom Baldwin

Why I joined the Socialist Party
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A large number of voters turned out to vote in the European elections. This was reflected in some countries, such as Denmark, where right parties did not do well. In the Netherlands, despite VVD winning all four MEPs, the new right party, Forum for Democracy, won fewer than 3.5% of the vote, and in France, the far-right Front National, which received 15% of the vote in 2009, received only 5.3%.

In a number of countries the Greens did represent a significant opposition to the policies of the political right, particularly in those countries where the social democratic parties that had traditionally been the main opposition to the far right had been severely weakened by economic austerity measures. In some cases, such as Germany, where the Social Democrats (SPD) and the Christian Democrats (CDU) had been in power for the past decade, the Greens were in the mood to go it alone. In the case of the German Greens, they had abandoned their prior strategy of forming a coalition with the SPD and had decided to stand alone as a new party.

The result of these elections was a dramatic shift in the political balance of power in many countries. In Italy, for example, the Five Star Movement, a new party formed by a former comedian, received over 30% of the vote, while in Spain, Podemos, a party formed by former members of the Popular Party, received almost 20% of the vote. In France, the far-right Front National, which had been in power for the past ten years, received only 5.3% of the vote, while the far-left Parti Socialiste received only 15.8% of the vote.

The result of these elections not only reflected the growing popularity of new parties on the political right, but also the growing lack of support for traditional parties on the political left. In many cases, the traditional left-wing parties had been severely weakened by economic austerity measures and had been unable to offer a credible alternative to the policies of the political right. In some cases, such as Germany, where the Social Democrats (SPD) and the Christian Democrats (CDU) had been in power for the past decade, the Greens were in the mood to go it alone. In the case of the German Greens, they had abandoned their prior strategy of forming a coalition with the SPD and had decided to stand alone as a new party.
Tens of thousands will take to the streets of London on 4 June to protest against the visit of US President Donald Trump. During his state visit he will also attend - at the invitation of doomed prime minister Theresa May - D-Day commemorations in Portsmouth, angering many.

The sacrifice of D-Day soldiers and others in the fight against fascism during World War Two led to the election of a reforming Labour government in 1945, by returning servicemen and women, determined not to see a return to the capitalist crisis and austerity of the 1930s.

To see May and Trump show their respects is the height of hypocrisy after overseeing a decade of austerity that has thrown many veterans further into poverty, with cuts on pensions, benefits, housing and the NHS.

The great-great grandchildren of these veterans, also hit by austerity, are joining the fightback by leading climate strikes. They are helping to build the protests against Trump in Portsmouth alongside local trade unionists.

These world leaders, ‘masters of the universe’, are masters of hypocrisy. Their claims to defend democracy and act for the many as voices for peace and prosperity are shallow lies to hide the reality. Representatives of the super-rich, their policies are for imperialist plunder and profit, if necessary through war and sponsoring dictatorships.

For workers and their families in Portsmouth, including those working in the armed forces and defence industries, their policies of austerity have led to massive cuts in jobs, particularly at Portsmouth BAE dockyards, pay, pensions, benefits, education, the NHS and council services.

Growing poverty, food banks and homelessness is the outcome. For armed forces personnel returning from conflict, cuts to mental health services has left many of them suicidal and living on the streets.

Portsmouth trade unionists, students and socialists have come together to sign a joint letter of protest opposing the visit of Trump saying: “Trump’s system is one of war and militarism, exploitation and misery, racism and misogyny.

“We stand for a system based on solidarity and the belief that together, united as a class, working people can surmount the international problems caused by the elites like Donald Trump - from climate change to capitalism itself.”

This letter has raised the call made by the Socialist Party for an immediate general election to bring down the Tory government which invited Trump: “An immediate general election, putting an anti-austerity Jeremy Corbyn led Labour government committed to socialist policies in power, is the only way to deliver for the many not the few.”

Only on the basis of a socialist world can we hope to see an end to poverty, racism and war, where the world’s resources can be used in a rational way through democratic workers’ control and planning to meet the needs of all and the planet.