No support for May’s Tory Brexit deal
End austerity - Tories out, Corbyn in!
For a socialist alternative to the bosses’ EU
Kick out the Blairite saboteurs
Nationalise the banks and major monopolies
For a new collaboration of the peoples of Europe on a socialist basis

Corbyn and unions must call mass action for a general election now
MAY FACING BREXIT VOTE CATASTROPH E: CORBYN MUST PUT WORKERS’ MOVEMENT ON A WAR FOOTING!

Nothing to declare?

Corbyn needs to immediately put the workers’ movement on a war footing for a general election.

The trigger-bastard process urgently needs to be opened which allows the Labour Party to select new candidates for a forthcoming general election. An emergency national movement to raise money is now needed to support all anti-austerity candidates, including the Socialist Party’s candidates.

The Labour leader and the party must now discuss how to urgently recreate Labour on democratic, socialistic lines. If they fail, we must be ready to build a mass battle to put the workers’ movement on a war footing for a general election.

How to win a general election, also how to prevent the nuclear power to attempt the suicide of Corbyn and Corbyn’s government. Key points will include the nationalisation of the banks and major monopolies under democratic, working-class control and management, in order to pave the way for a socialist plan of production, distribution and consumption.

The key is not to wage a new parliamentary war with the Tories but to continue the sustained campaign and fight for a socialist government.

In this struggle, the Socialist Party is committed to working together with all others who share our socialist vision to defeat the Tories and build a new movement - one which is rooted in the working class and is committed to the people and the interests of the working and middle-class majority. The priority is to win the hands of any corporations that move to punish the people for the sins of the rich.

The trade unions and Jeremy Corbyn should be organizing massive protest action to call for a general election, which would be a real people’s vote. Last Saturday’s demonstration for a general election, called by the People’s Assembly, was a modest sized, but the real Brexit battle, the kind of a deal from the top down, or if forced her to resign, but it is also possible that she will try parliamentary rebellion in the post-war era. What May lie in tatters. She had hoped that by being seen to implement Brexit she could hold the Tory party together and cobble together a ‘new’ deal and return to parliament, just six weeks before Brexit is due. Whether the Tories are at each other’s throats - now is an open question.

Nothing to declare?
France: union-led action and assemblies can defeat Macron

The gilets jaunes movement looks to be picking back up after the Christmas break photo Thomas Bresson/CC

After Grenfell, thousands still in high-rise firebreak deficits: set no cuts budgets

Cladding off now! Landlords and government must pay!

Join the fightback! Join the Socialists!

30% of English secondaries in deficit: set no cuts budgets!

The trade union leadership should call a nationally coordinated day of strike action to shut down the country and pave the way for further action. This would start with the question: who really runs society?

Steve Keen
Caroline Lucas and South West London Socialist Party

Across England, 417 high-rise towers are covered in combustible cladding, according to government figures. Of these 172 are private towers, where people have either bought or rent. Most are block flats.

Property developers and landlords are pristine at the foot of these regulations, blocking any action against their tenants. Their lives desperately need to be made safe. But rather than do the decent thing, private companies and landlords are refusing to pay up, and are instead demanding that leaseholders, and indirectly renters, foot the bill.

For those affected the same answer: enough. In 2017 the gilets jaunes activists are asking families to find £75,000 to renovate. This will involve some people, and leave crippling debts for many others.

For the bloc's owner, the cost would be in millions. A small sum to pay for multimillion-pound private landlords whose only role is that we work for our pay for a roof over our own heads while they rent them sublet on some micro-multifamily.

Huge sums

After the flurry of the fire at Grenfell, the Tory government pledged to support the removal of all contaminated cladding from high-rise buildings so that people could sleep safe at night. The crooked rebuilds have long since folded, and collectively we need action now.

But the government argues over who foots the bill. The private sector is of course a big reason, but local government, in particular those councillors, and some tenants are being criminally complicit.

Combustible cladding has been shown to be unsafe; the risk of fire and explosion needs to be minimised. We don't want our children to suffer in the Grenfell. We demand that safety.

Cuts budgets

Almost one in three council-owned secondary schools were in deficit in 2017-18, according to new data from the think-tank Education Policy Institute (EPI).

It is urgent that unions and council leaders fight a firebreak against privatisation andndeficit in stat secondary education so that our kids can have a decent education.

This report comes after education from state schools are now under threat. In 2017-18, according to new EPI data, around 2,200 secondary schools had almost no budget, whatever income they received.

One of the commonest weaknesses of our state education is the crisis of headteachers. And the cuts budgets have given an edge.

Schools aren't running at a loss. The government argues that funding pressures are leading to an increase in exclusions, lower spending, and rising costs. The EPI report, however, has shown that funding is not the issue. It is all about keeping kids out of education.

Cutbacks led to over 15,000 fewer secondary school teachers, and a 9% increase in exclusions between 2010-11 and 2015-16, according to new data from the think tank EPI.

Cutbacks have led, according to the EPI, to an increase of £1,200 in secondary teachers' salaries. This is in addition to the £3,000-£4,000 cuts in their budgets. These cuts could put the lives of our kids at risk.

Teachers, parents and school students need a seat at the table of education decision-making, not just the government alone in the classroom and the hallway. Instead, there should be real debates over the future of education, what it should be and what it isn't.

This government has ripped out £4 billion since 2010. It is clear that the crisis in secondary education is a crisis of privatisation. This must be ended. New funding must be made available to secondary education to make sure all children receive a quality education.

But don't all Londoners have a right to breathe? This cannot be left to the Average Londoner. We must all stand together to fight for our rights.

The crocodile tears of the government are designed to make Londoners believe that we cannot fight for our lives. The crocodile governments have already signed off £400 million to local authorities to decide on the use of tower blocks in Britain.

After Grenfell, we demand that all blocks in Britain be made safe; that all costs are paid for by the government. The government is responsible for this, and we must demand that they take action.

All our children are at risk of dying at night. The government, and all local authorities, must be held to account for any actions on tower blocks.

The privatisation of secondary schools is a crisis of privatisation. We need a fully funded, publicly owned education system, run by elected control. As part of a drive to secure our futures, we need to demand an end to this system.

The government argues that funding pressures are leading to an increase in exclusions, lower spending, and rising costs. The EPI report, however, has shown that funding is not the issue. It is all about keeping kids out of education.

Cutbacks led to over 15,000 fewer secondary school teachers, and a 9% increase in exclusions between 2010-11 and 2015-16, according to new data from the think tank EPI.

Cutbacks have led, according to the EPI, to an increase of £1,200 in secondary teachers' salaries. This is in addition to the £3,000-£4,000 cuts in their budgets. These cuts could put the lives of our kids at risk.

Teachers, parents and school students need a seat at the table of education decision-making, not just the government alone in the classroom and the hallway. Instead, there should be real debates over the future of education, what it should be and what it isn't.

This government has ripped out £4 billion since 2010. It is clear that the crisis in secondary education is a crisis of privatisation. This must be ended. New funding must be made available to secondary education to make sure all children receive a quality education.

But don't all Londoners have a right to breathe? This cannot be left to the Average Londoner. We must all stand together to fight for our rights.

The crocodile tears of the government are designed to make Londoners believe that we cannot fight for our lives. The crocodile governments have already signed off £400 million to local authorities to decide on the use of tower blocks in Britain.

After Grenfell, we demand that all blocks in Britain be made safe; that all costs are paid for by the government. The government is responsible for this, and we must demand that they take action.

All our children are at risk of dying at night. The government, and all local authorities, must be held to account for any actions on tower blocks.

The privatisation of secondary schools is a crisis of privatisation. We need a fully funded, publicly owned education system, run by elected control. As part of a drive to secure our futures, we need to demand an end to this system.
Workplace

E and workers in Brid-
ges, along with their
family, are trying to
come to terms with the
announcement that
over 1,000 workers will lose their
jobs at Ford. The closures, which will
take place from now until the end of 2020,
will affect the entire workforce. The first 370 to go as early as this spring.

UNIONS MUST FIGHT AND DEMAND
NATIONALISATION TO SAVE
THOUSANDS OF CAR INDUSTRY JOBS

Marcus

Socialist Party national
Executive Committee member
Johnnie Marr

Socialist Party members have
supported the strike for the past
year and will continue to mobilise
support for the picket lines. We sold
100 copies of the Socialist on the
picket line in a small town.

The strikes are keeping for calls of strike action in UCU members and others. The UCU is the largest trade union in the UK and, as such, workers have been
organised in the car industry. The car industry is a major employer in the UK and
the closures have a major impact on the workforce. The closures have a major impact on the workforce. The closures have a major impact on the workforce. The closures have a major impact on the workforce.

University and College Union

Vote Yes and Yes in
the higher education
pay and equality ballot

On their 149th day of strike action, RMT members have joined nationwide for the past
month and will continue to mobilise support for the picket lines. We sold
100 copies of the Socialist on the
picket line in a small town.

The strikes are keeping for calls of strike action in UCU members and others. The UCU is the largest trade union in the UK and, as such, workers have been
organised in the car industry. The car industry is a major employer in the UK and
the closures have a major impact on the workforce. The closures have a major impact on the workforce. The closures have a major impact on the workforce. The closures have a major impact on the workforce.
On 22 January 1979 public sector workers staged a one-day strike calling for an end to low pay and for a shorter working week. It was the biggest stoppage in Britain since the 1907 lock-out. In London, 80,000 workers from the NHS, schools and local authorities marched to parliament to demand that the Callaghan Labour government drop its detested policy of pay restraint.

Roger Bannister of Liverpool Socialist Party - an activist in the strike movement - looks back on "winter of discontent" 40 years ago.
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March to save our footbridge

The second meeting of the Stanhope Road Bridge Footbridge campaign was held on 5th March at St Mary’s Church in Hackney. The campaign was set up to fight the closure of the bridge, which is used by thousands of people daily, and to create a safe walking environment for all users. The meeting was attended by around 40 people. The Labour council’s proposals for the demolition of the bridge will cause serious harm to the community. The meeting agreed to continue to campaign to save the bridge, and to encourage people to join the campaign and support the campaign on social media.

Tourists in the city are learning about the history of the bridge, which is a symbol of the struggles of working-class people over many years. The bridge is a symbol of the fight against the cuts and the fight for a better world. The meeting was attended by around 40 people. The Labour council’s proposals for the demolition of the bridge will cause serious harm to the community. The meeting agreed to continue to campaign to save the bridge, and to encourage people to join the campaign and support the campaign on social media.

Tourists in the city are learning about the history of the bridge, which is a symbol of the struggles of working-class people over many years. The bridge is a symbol of the fight against the cuts and the fight for a better world.
Keresley responds to police shooting

There was a strong reaction to the Guardian’s report about a protest outside the Keresley Colliery, a member of the former mining union, the miners’ association in Coventry. A large group of black and white people gathered outside the colliery, facing the police and demanding an explanation. The police, who had been standing guard, started to move away, but they were quickly surrounded by the protesters. The situation quickly escalated, with the police using tear gas and rubber bullets to clear the area. The main protesters were led away by the police in handcuffs.

The Socialist Party’s magazine, Socialism Today, described the incident as a “masselijke confrontatie” (mass confrontation). They said that the police had used excessive force and that the protesters were exercising their right to freedom of assembly.

The protesters, who were mostly miners and their families, were angry about the closure of the Keresley Colliery and the impact it had on the local community. They demanded that the government make a commitment to re-open the colliery and provide work for the miners.

The magistrate’s court in Coventry has set a date for the hearing of the case. It will be on the 22nd of next month. The protesters are planning to hold a demonstration outside the court on that day.

Workers’ rights

The Socialist Party’s magazine, Socialism Today, has published an article about workers’ rights in the mining industry. It highlights the difficulties that miners face in organising and fighting for their rights, and calls for a stronger trade union movement.

The article, by Peter Robinson, says that the miners are facing a “staggering” amount of work and conditions that are unsafe and unhealthy. They are demanding better wages and conditions, as well as the right to unionise and strike.

The magazine also calls for a more progressive and radical approach to workers’ rights. It says that the miners’ association needs to become more politically engaged and to fight for a more equal and just society.

Filming for “Sorry to Bother You” was completed in the eastern area of Coventry.

The film, directed by Boots Riley, is a satirical comedy about the mining industry. It follows the story of a young miner who is fired from his job after trying to organise a union. The film is a criticism of the mining industry’s treatment of miners, and it highlights the需要 for a more equitable society.

For the residents of Keresley Village, this was a very difficult time. They had worked hard for many years to make their community stronger and more vibrant. But the closure of the colliery was a blow to their spirit and their community.

The residents of Keresley are determined to fight for their rights and to make their community a better place. They are looking forward to the hearing and will keep up the pressure until justice is done.
The government shutdown, in the words of the US Treasury Department, is an ‘emergency’. It’s important to ensure that the US public and workers have the power to stop this emergency, and transform society.

We demand:

-原谅
-无实际工作的移民
-立即额外支付联邦工人
-为联邦工人的食物援助
-为联邦工人的健康保险
-为联邦工人的养老金
-为联邦工人的住房
-为联邦工人的环境
-废除反工会法
-扩大劳动权利
-为工人投票
-为工人的环境
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What is the real problem with Universal Credit? It's constantly in the news, with politicians criticising delays in payment and design flaws. But most do not address the fundamental issue: austerity.

The Universal Credit benefit system is part of the general Tory onslaught against the welfare state. The Socialist Party stands opposed to all these attacks, not just the worst features of them.

Universal Credit also includes punitive provisions aimed at forcing workers to increase their working hours - regardless of personal circumstances such as care responsibilities, or whether the additional work even exists.

Attacks

These attacks are about propping up capitalist profit. Between 1966 and 1982, unemployed workers could get an earnings-related supplement to their unemployment benefit - up to 90% of previous earnings.

But having seen the potential strength of the trade union movement in the big battles of the 1970s, Margaret Thatcher's newly elected Tory government had a high priority of creating unemployment to try to weaken union power.

An overvalued pound made it harder to sell overseas. Combined with British industry's legacy of low investment levels - compared with rival capitalist economies like high-tech Germany - this resulted in mass redundancies.

Unemployment increased to three million. Against this background, the Tories wanted to reduce benefits to increase competition for jobs - to help drive down wages and restore profits.

Unemployment and underemployment are inherent within any economy based on market competition. Overall they are the fault of capitalism, not individual workers.

A new welfare system needs to take this as its starting point, and also form part of a programme to replace profit-driven jobs chaos with democratic socialist planning - jobs for all. The Blairites instead pander to Tory ‘benefit scrounger’ myths because they defend the capitalist system.

A new welfare system must be based on a reasonable standard of living for claimants. Pro-capitalist politicians may criticise elements of Universal Credit. But they don’t criticise the derisory level of benefits, exacerbated by the Tories' four-year in-work benefits freeze.

Staff

A new welfare system also has to have adequate administrative resources. PCS, the trade union for benefits workers in the Department for Work and Pensions, is calling for an extra 5,000 staff and a freeze on Universal Credit roll-out until its administrative disasters have been resolved.

Funding to advice bureaus, law centres and legal aid must be restored, so claimants can get help to receive their full entitlement. Most urgently, Labour councils should use their existing financial powers to ensure no one is evicted, cold or hungry because of Universal Credit. Jeremy Corbyn should promise to reimburse councils which underwrite all Universal Credit losses if he takes power.

This could make the system unworkable, hasten the downfall of the Tory government, and prove to working-class voters that Corbyn is serious about fighting for them.

Tories out - scrap Universal Credit

Fight for living wages and benefits