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BosSES PUSh TO LiFT LocKDOWN

They Only Care
About Profits

- PPE and testing for all
- No return to work unless safety can be guaranteed
- Workers’ and trade union control of workplace safety
- Socialist planning not capitalist market chaos

This week will mark seven weeks since Britain entered lockdown. It appears that the death rate from Covid-19 is finally starting to fall. Nonetheless, the official number of deaths is now higher than every European country, including Italy.

The levels of testing, not least in care homes, means that the real death rate is certainly considerably higher. On 21 April, the Financial Times calculated a real toll of 41,000 deaths.

A comparison of official figures for the number of deaths above the average across 24 European countries shows that England had had the largest spike. Unsurprisingly, deaths are highest in the poorest areas of Britain (see page 4).

Meanwhile there has been a 121% increase in families with children using food banks as poverty soars. Around two million people have had to apply for Universal Credit after losing work. Millions more have suffered pay cuts, often as part of being furloughed. Economic hardship and uncertainty are combined with the problems of isolation and being unable to visit loved ones.

For the whole of society, therefore, there is a tension between reasons to continue, and reasons to end, the lockdown. At this stage, however, opinion polls show that a big majority do not want to see the lockdown lifted. Just 17% are reported to think the conditions have been met to consider opening schools and only 9% pubs.

All reports, however, indicate that the government is now looking to put proposals to start lifting the lockdown. To prepare for this, the last of its five tests for doing so have been softened.

Previously, this was to ‘avoid risking a second peak of infection’. Now it has been changed to avoiding a second peak that ‘overwhelms the NHS’. This undoubtedly reflects the growing pressure from big sections of business to, as Johnson put it, ‘fire up the engines’ of the economy.

A major obstacle to lifting the lockdown is the doubts the majority of the population have about it. At root, these reflect a completely justified lack of trust in Johnson and the Tories to take decisions based on the health and well-being of the working class.

The uncertainty and divisions within the Tories on the way forward are real. However, they do not represent tensions between those who want to put the needs of big business first and those who want to prioritise the public health, but instead over how best to do the former.

The lockdown itself was never a means to prevent a certain level of Covid-19 deaths, but to try to stop the prospect of the worst predictions of the death toll, and ‘flatten the curve’ in order to allow the NHS to cope. Those who want to extend the lockdown are concerned about the political and economic consequences of a second wave of the virus leading to a further lockdown.

The Tories’ real approach to public health has been shown both by the relentless cuts to the NHS resulting in woefully inadequate resources to cope with a pandemic, and by their initial response to Covid-19.

Dominic Cummings summed it up in his reported comment, “herd immunity, protect the economy, and if that means some pensioners die, too bad”. Only the fear of a mass movement booting them out of office forced them to retreat from their original position of total inaction.

Now, in moving to ease the lockdown, they are once again prioritising ‘the economy’ - the capitalists’ profits - rather than public health.

It is vital that the trade union movement launches a serious struggle to defend workers’ rights in this latest stage of the corona crisis.
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The government’s briefings have been a mix of helpful and wildly inaccurate. In all seven documents the sec-

(0900) 2550 - ask for the editors

and bought by ordinary workers,

DEADLINES

publications@socialistparty.org.uk

Editorial

415

for urgent news, unless

DEADLINES

020 8988 8777 - ask for the editors

EDITORIAL

morning for weekly working-class news,

letters on working-class issues, or

you to write for the Socialist.

SUBSCRIBE TO THE SOCIALIST

Published by Socialist Publications

socialistparty.org.uk/subscribe

The Socialist goes to press on a

weekly on all podcast platforms

for weekly working-class news,

(40x633) Tuesday night. We publish online on

morning for urgent news, unless

DEADLINES

020 8988 8777 - ask for the editors

letters on working-class issues, or

you to write for the Socialist.

SUBSCRIBE TO THE SOCIALIST

Published by Socialist Publications

socialistparty.org.uk/subscribe

The Socialist goes to press on a

weekly on all podcast platforms

for weekly working-class news,

(40x633) Tuesday night. We publish online on

morning for urgent news, unless

DEADLINES

020 8988 8777 - ask for the editors

letters on working-class issues, or

you to write for the Socialist.

SUBSCRIBE TO THE SOCIALIST

Published by Socialist Publications

socialistparty.org.uk/subscribe

The Socialist goes to press on a

weekly on all podcast platforms

for weekly working-class news,

(40x633) Tuesday night. We publish online on

morning for urgent news, unless

DEADLINES

020 8988 8777 - ask for the editors

letters on working-class issues, or

you to write for the Socialist.

SUBSCRIBE TO THE SOCIALIST

Published by Socialist Publications

socialistparty.org.uk/subscribe

The Socialist goes to press on a

weekly on all podcast platforms

for weekly working-class news,

(40x633) Tuesday night. We publish online on

morning for urgent news, unless

DEADLINES

020 8988 8777 - ask for the editors

letters on working-class issues, or

you to write for the Socialist.

SUBSCRIBE TO THE SOCIALIST

Published by Socialist Publications

socialistparty.org.uk/subscribe

The Socialist goes to press on a

weekly on all podcast platforms

for weekly working-class news,

(40x633) Tuesday night. We publish online on

morning for urgent news, unless

DEADLINES

020 8988 8777 - ask for the editors

letters on working-class issues, or

you to write for the Socialist.

SUBSCRIBE TO THE SOCIALIST

Published by Socialist Publications

socialistparty.org.uk/subscribe

The Socialist goes to press on a

weekly on all podcast platforms

for weekly working-class news,

(40x633) Tuesday night. We publish online on

morning for urgent news, unless

DEADLINES

020 8988 8777 - ask for the editors

letters on working-class issues, or

you to write for the Socialist.

SUBSCRIBE TO THE SOCIALIST

Published by Socialist Publications

socialistparty.org.uk/subscribe

The Socialist goes to press on a

weekly on all podcast platforms

for weekly working-class news,

(40x633) Tuesday night. We publish online on

morning for urgent news, unless

DEADLINES

020 8988 8777 - ask for the editors

letters on working-class issues, or

you to write for the Socialist.

SUBSCRIBE TO THE SOCIALIST

Published by Socialist Publications

socialistparty.org.uk/subscribe

The Socialist goes to press on a

weekly on all podcast platforms

for weekly working-class news,

(40x633) Tuesday night. We publish online on

morning for urgent news, unless

DEADLINES

020 8988 8777 - ask for the editors

letters on working-class issues, or

you to write for the Socialist.

SUBSCRIBE TO THE SOCIALIST

Published by Socialist Publications

socialistparty.org.uk/subscribe

The Socialist goes to press on a

weekly on all podcast platforms

for weekly working-class news,

(40x633) Tuesday night. We publish online on

morning for urgent news, unless

DEADLINES

020 8988 8777 - ask for the editors

letters on working-class issues, or

you to write for the Socialist.

SUBSCRIBE TO THE SOCIALIST

Published by Socialist Publications

socialistparty.org.uk/subscribe

The Socialist goes to press on a

weekly on all podcast platforms

for weekly working-class news,
All NHS front line staff - don't worry! We don't want Covid wards full again

All NHS front line workers deserve a huge pay rise. This is the time to act before the government reneges on its promises to our healthcare staff. The NHS is one of the key reasons our country has managed to weather the pandemic and is a key part of our national pride. It is time to recognise the hard work and dedication of our NHS staff and provide them with the pay rise they deserve. The government has failed to deliver on its promises to the NHS and it is time for us to take action. We demand a pay rise of at least 15% for all NHS workers. This is the only way to show our appreciation for their hard work and dedication. We will not accept anything less. It is time to stand up for our NHS workers and ensure they are properly compensated for their vital work in these unprecedented times.

All NHS frontline workers deserve a pay rise. This is the time to act before the government reneges on its promises to our healthcare staff. The NHS is one of the key reasons our country has managed to weather the pandemic and is a key part of our national pride. It is time to recognise the hard work and dedication of our NHS staff and provide them with the pay rise they deserve. The government has failed to deliver on its promises to the NHS and it is time for us to take action. We demand a pay rise of at least 15% for all NHS workers. This is the only way to show our appreciation for their hard work and dedication. We will not accept anything less. It is time to stand up for our NHS workers and ensure they are properly compensated for their vital work in these unprecedented times.

The NHS has faced unprecedented challenges during the pandemic, and we must recognise the vital role our healthcare workers have played in keeping our communities safe. It is time to show our appreciation for their hard work and dedication by ensuring they receive a pay rise of at least 15%. The government must act now to ensure our NHS workers are properly compensated for their extraordinary efforts. This is the least we can do to show our gratitude to those who have put their lives on the line to protect us.
Nationalise British Airways to stop 12,000 redundancies

British Airways’ announcement of 12,800 redundancies represents an opportunity attack on its workforce. The British Airways/International Airline Group board are firm on their plans to rationalise the workforce, and have never allowed a crisis to be used to the advantage of the workforce. In addition to the redundancies, the airline is downsizing to diminish the whole workforce and turn up to 75% of its employees toreemploy, accepting new contracts which have worse pay and poorer terms and conditions.

To be clear, this is not a move from the company down by economic necessity, but a move to change the structure of the workforce to increase its profitability. The CWU is setting up a fund to help workers facing redundancy, and we are demanding that British Airways contribute to this fund.

The CWU has shown an enormous level of solidarity and resistance. If the CWU enacts the industrial action required to make the airline pay for its actions, we can resist and win.

Among the slate of retail companies entering administration, Debenhams has the highest profile, not least because of its continuing presence on high streets all over the country. Debenhams is a retail giant, with a workforce of over 10,000 and a turnover of £2.4 billion in 2017–18.

Debenhams’ announcement of closures creates a new airline without the whole workforce and ‘invite’ up to 12,000 redundancies. This was explained as ‘necessary and imminent’ and the firm said that the redundancies would be voluntary and had gone unchallenged as had the government. If these changes were not acceptable, the airline was prepared to downsize and turn up to 75% of its employees to reemploy, accepting new contracts which have worse pay and poorer terms and conditions.

The airline has shown an enormous level of solidarity and resistance. If the CWU enacts the industrial action required to make the airline pay for its actions, we can resist and win.
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TEST AND TRACE: WORKERS NEED A REAL PLAN

Only a national plan and workers’ control can guard against a safe exit from lockdown

Contact tracing apps: big data, big potential - and dangers

A Bluetooth token system could be preferable from a privacy point of view as it can work without a central database. But the government’s app seems to be a kind of hybrid, as big tech and Welsh government were involved in the development. The Cabinet Office does have proper light functions, such as data analysis by medical and public health professionals to inform the decisions. However, in the context of mass working-class class panic even before the peak time, and likely social upheavals after, a precursor for this kind of doomed mass electronic surveillance is troubling.

Bluntly, unless workplace safety is put ahead of short-term profits, the outbreak cannot be brought under control. And that means the state, not employers, has to take the lead.

If employers won’t guarantee it, trade unions must assert control with elected workplace and safety committees

Contact tracing is not a new idea. For decades by sexual health clinics to develop contact tracing. In the current crisis, the strategy is being exposed.

Another problem is that it is not easy for mobile phones to be used by many with a new disease. Early research suggests the virus can be found for at least 8 days, but the average is closer to 2 days,

Once more, the inability of the Tories to use the effective tools they had already in place to try to limit transmission is putting the future of the virus is hopefully prevented.

If this is done consistently, most of those who are found to be infected, and then they were infected, will be self-isolating for 14 days. This rule does not work without a functional contact tracing system, and that is the only reason that the government’s strategy is not working. If people are told to stay home as much as possible to the private sector and the secto,

A socialist government would have been in a far stronger position to manage this. Nationalised health and social care, a healthcare and medical supply sec,
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Contact tracking is not the ‘quick fix’ it is sometimes portrayed as. For a start, no one in their right mind would do the job of tracking close contacts.

Getting people to self-quarantine, and the lockdown rules, will also need to be addressed for the same reason. There is a trade-off between restricting the spread of the virus and the potential for economic damage.
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Contact tracing is not a new idea. For decades by sexual health clinics to develop contact tracing. In the current crisis, the strategy is being exposed.

Another problem is that it is not easy for mobile phones to be used by many with a new disease. Early research suggests the virus can be found for at least 8 days, but the average is closer to 2 days,

Once more, the inability of the Tories to use the effective tools they had already in place to try to limit transmission is putting the future of the virus is hopefully prevented.

If this is done consistently, most of those who are found to be infected, and then they were infected, will be self-isolating for 14 days. This rule does not work without a functional contact tracing system, and that is the only reason that the government’s strategy is not working. If people are told to stay home as much as possible to the private sector and the secto,
There are reports the government is considering abandoning advice to maintain two metres social distancing.

This comes as no surprise to the RMT. We had seen a presentation by London Underground to the International Union of Public Transport in which it argued that social distancing must be reduced to 0.5 metres in order to meet the expected demands for capacity under a “soft lockdown”.

It is difficult to avoid the conclusion that the so-called science can be changed according to whatever the government wants to do at any given time.

For all the fancy talk about working in the national interest, and cooperation between industry and unions, we are seeing the same old disregard for workers as the bosses try to get the economy moving again.

Let’s be clear. We all understand the need to be able to produce the stuff we need. Our public services and day-to-day needs cannot be met if the whole economy is shut down. But getting it moving cannot be left in the hands of the same government and bosses that have made such a mess of the crisis so far.

The fact that the UK did not have, and cannot manufacture, sufficient PPE or tests is not an unavoidable consequence of the emergence of coronavirus. The government modelled a pandemic just like this back in 2016. It concluded that the NHS would not have enough critical care beds or ventilators. It did nothing.

Workers on the tube and across public transport services must be able to maintain two metres social distancing unless, and until, it is clearly safe to move away from this. The only mitigation for working without social distancing is PPE, and that doesn’t mean wrapping a scarf around your face. With proper PPE unavailable we have no mitigations in place on London Underground.

There are 20,000 London bus drivers, and there are at least 22 known coronavirus deaths among them. That’s a rate of more than one per 1,000. Far higher than the rate for NHS staff. Many bus drivers believe this tragedy was avoidable, and was made worse than it could have been by the refusal of Transport for London and the bus companies to seal the front doors and limit boarding to the middle doors. Eventually this has been done, but too late for many.

Tube workers want to provide an essential service, but not with a reckless disregard for our safety. We have not looked to shut down the tube in this crisis. RMT members have demonstrated a commitment to provide a service to those who really need to travel around London. We will also get that service back up to a more intensive service when we can do so safely and without gambling with our lives. We will not have a near full service imposed on us by politicians and bosses who only care about themselves.

Unsafe Workplace? “It’s up to you” PCS tells reps and members

RMT members in London
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Unsafe Workplace? “It’s up to you” PCS tells reps and members

Socialist Party members in PCS and Broad Left Network

PCS union reps and members at Paisley Jobcentre walked out on PCS union reps and members at Paisley Jobcentre on 24 April. Following an outbreak of Covid-19 in their office, they reached an agreement allowing staff to go home with the office closed for 72 hours. (See ‘Civil servants in Paisley protest after Covid outbreak’ at socialists.org.uk)

Union reps at Paisley acted decisively to protect themselves and their members. They correctly determined that the health and safety of members was paramount, and collectively refused to work in a workplace they deemed unsafe.

But had they relied on advice and a lead from the union nationally, they would have been disappointed and still waiting.

A PCS Briefing, ‘Coronavirus - can employees refuse to attend the work place’, has been recently issued. At the end of a lengthy cataloguing of bits of legislation on health and safety, the briefing concludes with a statement bereft of guidance and leadership: “This briefing provides general information about statutory rights which are available to all employees in the UK. We are not advising you to do, or refrain from doing, anything.” In other words, sort it out yourself - leaving members and activists to their fate.

The incident at Paisley highlighted the need to secure arrangements which give better protection to members.

Other areas have successfully achieved this. For example a ‘serious incident protocol’ has been negotiated in HMRC. It has forced the closure of several HMRC buildings for periods of up to a week. The DWP PCS executive committee should negotiate a similar or better agreement for our members, and the national executive committee should try to get a similar or improved agreement to cover all our workplaces.

Broad Left Network members were active in the Paisley Jobcentre demand for a safe workplace, and in the action supporting this demand. We have no hesitation in recommending reps follow their example.

PCS should demand of management that they make all the workplace adjustments needed to ensure workers safety. These include:

- Everyone should work from home unless their work is both critical and can’t be done at home
- All staff with underlying health conditions, who live with someone with underlying health conditions or have caring responsibilities must work from home or be placed on paid special leave
- Where office attendance is necessary, agreed social distancing of at least two metres in all areas of the office - no compromise on capacity
- Thoroughly cleaned premises and equipment
- Hand sanitisers available at every point where they are needed
- Where demands are not met to the satisfaction of reps and members, a car park meeting should be held (with proper social distancing arrangements in place), to agree collectively how to respond. Stick together until a solution is agreed and accepted by members. Yes, unity is our strength in these difficult times.

A lesson it seems the national leadership and bosses that have made such a mess of the crisis so far.

Unite has successfully negotiated that nearly 500 EDF Energy workers will be furloughed on 100% pay during the coronavirus pandemic. The agreement covers meter installers, meter fixers and revenue collection workers in London, the south east and south west regions.

Unite regional officer Onay Kasab said: “The agreement reached with EDF Energy will protect the pay and jobs of our members during the current coronavirus crisis. “What we have achieved is the fundamental and central role of a trade union - defending workers’ interests in the workplace, through thick and thin, and especially so when times are particularly hard.

“Unite members have shown their resilience in the face of the unprecedented challenges the energy sector has faced in 2020. “We have negotiated a valuable agreement to protect members’ income and wellbeing. “It recognises the urgency of the current situation, but also provides for the future as the company recovers from the COVID-19 pandemic.”

The rest of the non-furloughed staff remains on full pay, and will be either voluntary emergency staff, who are on call, or employees who have volunteered to take part in community schemes - for example, delivering prescriptions and medication to the sick and elderly.

Unsafe Workplace? “It’s up to you” PCS tells reps and members

EDF Energy workers furloughed on 100% pay
Readers’ opinion
Is facemask advice based on science or shortages?

Gareth Bromhall
Swansea and West Wales Socialist Party

There has been a lot said about facemasks. I help run a Covid-19 support group in Swansea and one of the most contentious subjects has been face coverings for the public.

Some countries have attempted to stop the contagion by suggesting or mandating the use of masks. On 28 April, Scottish first minister Nicola Sturgeon suggested people wear them in shops and on public transport.

There has been a lot of confusion, conflicting advice and misinformation.

It would appear from scientific advice that there is some benefit from masks - especially those with medical grade filters and single use in stopping viral particles - and just restricting access to the mouth and nose could also be of benefit.

Why is it then that the government has not suggested masks, and seem to be keen to push dodgy science about their use?

Given that the main demand from frontline workers is ‘PPE now’, could it be that the government, knowing that it has a huge crisis of access to PPE, aren’t encouraging the public to use masks in case it depletes already limited supply - to the detriment of our health?

I don’t think this can be discounted.

This crisis of supply of PPE could easily be solved. Textile companies have offered to make masks and gowns, engineering firms have offered to make ventilators, and thousands of DIY-makers in their homes have been 3D printing shields, sewing masks and even scrubs.

The workforce and infrastructure exist. What’s missing is a socialist economy that can use the best scientific advice and democratic planning to ensure that PPE and other supplies needed to combat this pandemic, and others in the future, are produced and distributed in the interests of the majority.

——

Going viral
Socialist comments and letters on the corona crisis

The Covid-19 pandemic is a world social crisis which touches every aspect of life. The inequalities and failings of the capitalist system are being exposed, and workers and communities are organising in response.

Send us your comments, reports, anecdotes and thoughts, in not more than 200 words (we reserve the right to shorten letters), to editors@socialistparty.org.uk.

Another care home scandal
People in my village have heard cries for help coming from the nursing and care home. It transpires that one of the residents was confined to her room after she tested positive for Covid-19.

When villagers enquired further, we found out that she didn’t even have a TV in her room. Managers stated that it was up to relatives to provide one.

This woman is now allowed out of her room, but she has been so traumatised that she is reluctant to leave it.

A care worker at the home told me that five residents tested positive for Covid-19 and they stopped testing after five. Staff have flimsy aprons. A doctor showed her how droplets containing the virus could penetrate her face mask.

We demand full PPE and regular testing of staff and residents.

Heather Rawling
Leicester

VE Day
The British ruling class, the Tories and Labour leader Keir Starmer, are going to try and utilise Victory in Europe Day (VE Day, 8 May).

It should be marked. Every time you have a discussion about what happened with the generation who lived through that war, you learn something.

But now what is happening to many of these heroes who defeated fascism? Left unprotected to die in care homes.

What is the real story of what happened in 1945? When Winston Churchill is presented as a ‘hero’ to 40 years. The last ten years of austerity read like they had it in for these vulnerable groups.

The Socialist Party fights child hunger and violence against women every day; the Tories compound and create the problems.

Nancy Taaffe
Waltham Forest, London

Football
South East London Socialist Party had an amazing meeting on ‘football in a time of crisis’.

A number of clubs furloughed low-paid staff despite making huge profits and paying players big wages. Of course, footballers paying their taxes isn’t enough to solve the huge health and economic crisis caused by coronavirus; we need socialist policies.

It was an opportunity to discuss what sports might look like after lockdown. We discussed a socialist approach to football and sports - kick profit out of the game, safe stadiums, democratically run clubs, decent facilities and funding for all, including women’s teams, against racism, and much more.

Helen Pattison
London

Lords
An example of where ‘we are all in this together’. The House of Lords was due to have a virtual meeting.

But Lords can only claim their expenses, £323 a day, if they turn up at the building. So of course, some Lords said they would not do the virtual meeting, unless they got their money - which is tax free and can be claimed 350 times a year.

Pete McNally
Worcester
Pay full fees for virtual courses? - No way!

Lessons from history

Jean Dring

Senior Lecturer

Leicester Socialist Party

have been enjoying reading the articles on World War Two. There are many lessons to be learned from our past and the youth.

The following collaboration and workplace in World War Two Britain (see socialist.org.uk) by Nic Trenear, mentioned the apprenticeship scheme in place in the North East, North West, Scotland, and Wales. They were known by different names, but they had similar roles. The apprenticeship scheme was designed to help the young, as they too had played a role in the strike.

The class struggle during the war peaked in 1944. The officers and workers that were involved in the General Strike of 1944 were never forgotten. They are also workers. We do not want to be treated as if we are above the normal worker. We soldiers are also workers.

There are many lessons to be learnt from the articles on World War Two. We have been enjoying reading the articles on World War Two. There are many lessons to be learned from our past and the youth.

At the start of the pandemic, the government had 33 million unemployed workers, but only 10 million had been made redundant. The government has failed to say where the rest have gone.

The TV programme was not a case of the government's PPE failures and how NHS staff were being put at risk. The main point of the PPE report was to show how the government was mishandling the pandemic.

The announcement means students and staff at universities should not expect to pay rent for courses delivered online in the next academic year. The government estimated 10,000 universities jobs at risk, with a further 76,985 jobs in the private sector.

Not included in the report was the likelihood of this announcement leading to an increase in the number of students dropping out of university and the long-term impact on the NHS.

The report estimates 30,000 university jobs at risk, with a further 76,985 jobs in the private sector.

The UCU must now mount a determined and co-ordinated campaign for universities to be run as a public service, not a business, to prevent the government from making any further cuts or austerity measures.

The Bevan Ballot scheme was one of the most revolutionary ideas of the post-war period. It meant that people would have the right to vote on strikes and other measures to protect their jobs. The Bevan Ballot scheme was defeated by the Labour government.

Socialism on trial

The four were still convicted 13 days. But in September 1944, the four were again convicted of conspiracy. They were not allowed to go on strike, even though they had been promised 30% less than the TUC's wage claim.
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The coronavirus crisis has exposed all the inadequacies, inequalities, and inscrutable contradictions of the capitalist-imperialist system. Ever since the lockdown (khisa) was announced on 24 March, just four hours’ notice was given of the complete lockdown to be complied with by the mammoth population of 1.34 billion. It is a party fundamentally of traders and financiers engaged in construction, road building, ancillary industries, and various other hazardous jobs - who make a significant contribution to the economy - have been left abandoned. The crisis has revealed that the lockdown, the privileged upper-classes and the corporate tycoons, threw open shopping malls and malls and restaurants, and maintained luxury living. It was unacknowledged coming across every aspect of society. The middle class and the rich, who are the unarmed support of such a system, were not targeted, nor were the poor and workers who were targeted for the prime minister’s ‘V-marks’ of compassion.

The lockdown comes at a time when the Indian economy is already in a distress situation that has been exacerbated by decades of neoliberalism. Consequently, food distribution was shut in some states using widespread repressive measures. As a result, India accounted for a quarter of the world’s child food malnutrition. The mass market maintains the production of its profits, but not at a socially necessary—luxury commodities for the public-hospitals.

Regions across the country face acute shortages of fruits and vegetables, as well as the lack of test kits, hospitals, beds, ventilators, non- prescription drugs, masks, sanitizers, testing, production, medicines, and alcoholic beverages. The government announced on economic package in March, this has led to a total lockdown of the country. For the Indian state, the level of the lockdown is no more than that of a series of discriminatory and unjustified measures which have been imposed to control the vast population of India. The government is avowedly anti-poor. Unlike the Congress Party governments before, its social base is not the Haves and have-nots of the country, but the poor, which is so deep-seated that even the most avid supporters of the Modi government will find it impossible to admit that the poor might even be sympathetic to the lockdown.

The opinion-makers—‘the 1%’ alongside the 25% or so of the upper-middle classes and proprietors of luxury condominiums and the ‘omnipotent’ prime minister were the heroes of the lockdown. The Mamata Banerjee government in West Bengal refused to implement the lockdown. The lockdown has been extended by the government on the same day. With the lockdown, the privileged upper-classes and the corporate tycoons, threw open shopping malls and malls and restaurants, and maintained luxury living. It was unacknowledged coming across every aspect of society. The middle class and the rich, who are the unarmed support of such a system, were not targeted, nor were the poor and workers who were targeted for the prime minister’s ‘V-marks’ of compassion.
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Income, jobs and workplace safety

- PPE for all NHS and frontline staff
- Tests and full pay for all
- Take over private health and other necessary facilities and industries. Not a penny to the profiteers
- Socialist planning not capitalist chaos

This charter is for discussion and debate in workplaces and communities so that we can organise to defend our health, safety and rights during the crisis. Get in touch if you would like to join a Socialist Party online meeting.

Government should take over other industries and convert production where necessary, under the democratic control of workers in those industries and in the wider workforce, to guarantee the supply of PPE, medical equipment and testing. Not a penny to the profiteers

Emergency training of NHS staff to deal with the coronavirus crisis

Reintroduce full student nurse bursaries, and waive their student debt immediately

Immediate 10% wage rise for all NHS staff and social care workers

Resources to be mobilised from government and big-business sources so that free, accessible testing with rapid results is available for all frontline staff, workers interacting with the public, patients transferred into social care and anyone with flu or cold-like symptoms. Requisition all private testing and analysis facilities. Massively increase the number of contact tracers

Reverse privatisation in the NHS, remove the privates and cancel all the billions of pounds in annual PFI payments. End profit in social care and bring it into the public sector under democratic working-class oversight

Nationalise the big pharmaceutical companies to guarantee research, production and supply of medicines, vaccines and treatments

Suspend fees for overseas NHS patients - treat all patients for free without the need to register to control the spread. Scrap prescription charges

Public services and housing

Emergency funding to take on more workers in essential services. Resources to protect workers, patients, and service users

Councils to coordinate local distribution of food, medicines and other supplies to the needy and vulnerable with the democratic oversight of trade union and community organisations. Scrap existing cuts budgets. Demand that the government nationally finance all additional spending necessary to deal with the coronavirus crisis, as well as releasing the resources to reverse a decade of austerity

24-hour, adequately staffed, local authority helplines for vulnerable and elderly people forced to self-isolate

Workload demands must be reduced and time made available to prioritise protecting the health, safety and welfare of staff and service users

Quality childcare for vulnerable families and children of essential workers, under the democratic control of education and care workers, with adequate protection for all. No delay in food vouchers for children normally in receipt of free school meals. Vouchers must reflect the real costs of providing a nutritious daily meal

Nobody should lose their home because of coronavirus. Mortgage, rent and council tax payments should be waived for the duration of the crisis and arrears written off. Democratically controlled local authority hardship funds for landlords in genuine need

Councils to use their powers to take over empty homes to house the homeless, those in inadequate housing and those fleeing domestic violence. Hotels to be used to provide emergency accommodation

Funding for 24 hour helplines and increased spending on refuges and safe emergency accommodation for victims of domestic violence

No action to be taken for non-payment of utilities. Free broadband for all

Trade union and workers’ action

- For the Trade Union Congress and the unions, the biggest voluntary national organisation with over six million members across the country, to prepare to lead national coordinated strike action to protect people should necessary health and safety measures not be taken

- Democratic trade union oversight over any government or private sector emergency measures taken to contain the virus

- No erosion of workers’ right to organise, including the democratic functioning of trade unions and parties

- No profiteering. Prices to be controlled by democratically elected committees of workers and consumers

- No trust in the Tories and other pro-capitalist politicians who are responsible for the crisis in the NHS and other public services to deal with the coronavirus crisis. For a mass workers’ party, drawing together workers, young people, socialists and activists from workplaces and communities, environmental, anti-racist and anti-cuts campaigns, to provide a fighting political alternative to the pro-big business parties

- The resources are there to deal with the crisis. Introduce an immediate 50% levy on the hoarded £750 billion lying idle in the bank accounts of big business

- The capitalist market system that prioritises profit and is based on competition cannot keep society safe. We need a democratic socialist plan of production and distribution to meet the needs of the majority in society. Take into public ownership the banks, financial institutions and the top 150 companies that dominate the British economy and run them under the democratic control and management of working-class people so that we can make the decisions about what is needed. Compensation to be paid only on the basis of proven need

- For international socialist cooperation